

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/813,342	03/30/2004	Tomio Satoh	172A 3559	5085	
3713	7590 06/24/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KODA & A		CHANG,	CHANG, JOSEPH		
SUITE 1140	2029 CENTURY PARK EAST SUITE 1140			PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGEI	LES, CA 90067		2817		
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/813,342	SATOH, TOMIO				
Office Action Summar	γ	Examiner	Art Unit				
·		Joseph Chang	2817				
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	nmunication appe	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMING. - Extensions of time may be available under the property of the period for reply specified above is less than the fixed period for reply is specified above, the maxing is provided to the period for reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	MUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136 s communication. hirty (30) days, a reply to the statutory statute, to reply will, by statute, to onths after the mailing of	6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).	ation.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ma	nrch 2004.					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL.		action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me							
closed in accordance with the p	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in t	he application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3</u> is/are allowed							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to r	estriction and/or	election requirement.	•				
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 Marc</u>	-		jected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) ind	uding the correction	on is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is object	ted to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152	2.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a calcalcalcalcalcalcalcalcalcalcalcalcalc	of: ority documents ority documents	have been received. have been received in A					
application from the Inter							
* See the attached detailed Office	action for a list o	f the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 			s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Drawings

Figure 6 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 2 are objected to because of the following informalities: typographical errors in Line 10 and 26 "a capatiror" should be changed to --a capacitor--Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2817

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0 230 876 A1.

EP 0 230 876 A1 discloses in the figure a piezoelectric oscillator comprising a piezoelectric element (Q), an ECL circuit (T1, T2 R1, R4, R3, the core of ECL), an inverted output (collector of T2) is grounded via a capacitor (C1) and is connected to an inverting input terminal (base of T1) via a capacitor (C2), the inverting input terminal (base of T1) is connected via a resistor (R2) to a non-inverting input terminal (base of T2) and is grounded via the capacitor (C1, see the rejection rationale below) and the inverting input terminal (base of T1) is grounded via the piezoelectric element (Q) and a frequency control element (C3) (also via L and D1). However, the capacitor C1, is not another capacitor as recited in the claim. As would have been well known in the art, having two separate capacitors instead of one would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use two capacitors for the capacitor C1 because such a modification would have provided the benefits stated above.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1 and 3 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the best prior art of record, EP 0 230 876, taken alone or in combination of other

Application/Control Number: 10/813,342 Page 4

Art Unit: 2817

references, does not teach or fairly suggest the "series-connected capacitors", as set forth in the claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lane discloses a harmonic oscillator with a crystal and ECL circuit.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Chang whose telephone number is 571 272-1759. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 0700-1730.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph Chang
Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2817